LACI

IMPROVEMENT OF SILICON NITRIDE GAS-DIFFUSION BARRIERS BY A LOW ENERGY ARGON PLASMA TREATMENT

P. Alpuim^{1,2}, S. Majee³, P. Chapon⁴, M.F. Cerqueira¹, D. Tondelier³, B. Geffroy^{3,5}, Y. Bonnassieux³, J. E. Bourée³

¹Departamento de Física, Universidade do Minho, 4710-057 Braga, Portugal

²INL - International Iberian Nanotechnology Laboratory, 4715-330 Braga, Portugal

³Laboratoire de Physique des Interfaces et des Couches Minces, CNRS, Ecole polytechnique, Université Paris-Saclay, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France

⁴HORIBA Jobin Yvon S.A.S., 91165 Longjumeau Cedex, France

INUS

⁵Laboratoire d'Innovation en Chimie des Surfaces et Nanosciences, NIMBE, CEA, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, CEA Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

Electrodes and organic layers are very sensitive to water vapor and oxygen → Thin film encapsulation is mandatory for organic electronic devices:



Choice of multilayer barrier material and deposition method:

1. Silicon nitride as compared with silicon dioxide and aluminum oxide 2. hot-wire CVD as compared with plasma-enhanced CVD (lower density

material) and atomic layer deposition (very low deposition rate)

Choice of analysis techniques for SiN, :H films

X-ray diffraction: structure of layers UV-visible ellipsometry: refractive index and absorption

coefficient Integrating sphere: transmittance and reflectance

X-ray reflectometry: mass density

Electrical Ca test method: water vapor transmission rate



 \rightarrow **Barrier** Filn **Water molecules**

> Glass cover

→ Al electrodes

Tentative conclusion for SiN_x:H multilayers grown by **HWCVD** without any further treatment

PET Substrate

Variations of lag-time and WVTR:

Epoxy Resin ←

Ca sensor ←



Fabrication of SiN_v:H multilayer barriers grown by HWCVD, each single-layer ated from the previous one by a plasma treat

Choice of plasma species: in the case of elastic collision between the incoming ion (M_1) and an atom at rest in the target (M_2), the energy transfer is equal to $4M_1M_2/(M_1 + M_2)^2$ times the maximum kinetic energy of the incoming ion Atoms in the target: Si and $N \rightarrow Ar^*$ chosen as ion species



Effect of treatment duration for low energy E, ..= 20 eV Maximum ion bombardment energy is fixed at low value: **20 eV** ($P_w = 350 \text{ mW/cm}^2$ and $p_g = 50 \text{ mTorr}$) Number of layers is fixed at 2 (total thickness = **100 nm**) ightarrow Stabilized minimum WVTR obtained for Ar treatment time > 8 min



Effect of optimized treatment time on number of SiN_x:H multilayers: Maximum ion bombardment energy is fixed at low value 20 eV Treatment duration is fixed at 8 min

\rightarrow Increase of lag-time with number of layers \rightarrow Decrease of WVTR with number of layers

 \rightarrow Minimum WVTR = 2 \times 10⁻⁴ g/m².day for a total thickness = 250 nm

Variation of maximum ion energy with power density and pressure Maximum ion energy is proportional to $\sqrt{power density}$ Maximum ion energy is inversely proportional to $\sqrt{pressure}$

Effect of maximum ion energy for a fixed treatment time = 8 min Number of layers is fixed at 2 (total thickness = 100 nm) Maximum ion energy is increased from ${\bf 10}~{\rm to}~{\bf 100}~{\rm eV}$ \rightarrow From 0 to 10 eV: no significant effect on WVTR

- → At around 30 eV: minimum WVTR = 5×10^{-4} g/m².day → > 40 eV: increase of WVTR at same level as < 10 eV
- → Inverse effect on lag-time

Effect of previously optimized plasma parameters on number of multilayers

Maximum Ar ion bombardment energy is fixed at 30 eV Treatment duration is fixed at 8 min

Number of layers is increased from 2 to 5 \rightarrow Optical transmittance (~ 80%)

Maximum lag-time = 125 h

→ Minimum WVTR = 7 × 10⁻⁵ g/m².dav measured at RT

Analysis of results based on FTIR measurements

3 samples (50 nm thick) SiN_x:H layers deposited on crystalline silicon 1) Sample A: as deposited

- Sample B: maximum Ar ion energy = 30 eV
- 3) Sample C: maximum Ar ion energy = 100 eV → No significant change between samples A and B
- → Increase of Si-H₂ absorbance for sample C compared with A

Analysis of results based on GD-OES and XPS measurements For sample B compared with sample A: decrease of H (FTIR and GD-OES) and O (GD-OES and XPS) → decrease of nanovoids

For sample C: increase of H (FTIR and GD-OES) and O (GD-OES and XPS) \rightarrow increase of nanovoids; detection of Ar signal (< 0.1 at%) by GD-OES while no Ar signal detected by XPS (below detection limit)

Analysis of results based on XRR measurements

For sample A: density = 2.83 g/cm³ in the whole thickness (50 nm) For sample B: density = 2.83 g/cm³ in the bulk region (48 nm) and higher density (2.91 g/cm³) in the surface region (2 nm) For sample C: density = 2.83 g/cm³ in the bulk region (43 nm) and lower density (2.35 g/cm3) in the surface region (7 nm)



Interpretation of GD-OES, XPS and XRR analysis results Surface atomic threshold displacement energy for Si atoms: 15 - 18 eV

(much higher than surface binding energy of Si = 4.7 eV/atom) Sputtering threshold energy for Si: \sim 50 eV implantation threshold energy of Ar' in Si: > 60 eV

 \rightarrow During the Ar plasma treatment, low temperature (100°C) and low maximum ion energy (< 40 eV) are acting simultaneously. There is analogy with ion energy effects observed in silicon ion beam ep number of defects in the film is very sensitive to both substrate s observed in silicon ion l mperature (at low temperature) and ion energy (at low energy) An optimum energy window for average transferred ion energy to target atoms (~ 20 eV) at low temperature (100°C) has been found (sample A) for achieving defect free films. This optimum has been associated with for achieving defect free films. This optimizer may accurate a surface atomic threshold displacement leading to rearrangement of atoms at the interfaces and thus to an improved permeation barrier For sample C, imp r (3 nm), associated with a decrease of density, leading to a

P. Alpuim et al., Thin Solid Films 595 (2015) 258











